EHDC Neighbourhood Plan 'Legal Check' checklist

Rowlands Castle Neighbourhood Plan



Reference	Test	EHDC comment and assessment of legal compliance		
TCPA 1990 Schedule 4B Para 5	Is the proposal in question a repeat proposal? (has EHDC refused a proposal under Para 12 or Section 61E or has it failed at referendum?)	The proposal is the Rowlands Castle Neighbourhood Plan and it is not a repeat proposal.		
TCPA 1990 Schedule 4B Para 6(2)(a) and Section 61F	Is the body who submitted the plan a qualifying body for the purposes of neighbourhood plan making?	The Plan is legally compliant in this respect. The qualifying body is Rowlands Castle Parish Council. The Plan is legally compliant in this respect.		
TCPA 1990 Schedule 4B Para 6(2)(b) and Section 61F	Does the plan proposal comply with other relevant provisions made under Section 61F?	The Rowlands Castle Neighbourhood Plan complies with other relevant provisions made under this section.		
TCPA 1990 Schedule 4B Para 1, Para 6(2)(c) and NP (General) Regulations 2012 Regulation 15	Have the qualifying body submitted the following in a satisfactory form: 1. a map or statement identifying the area to which the plan relates; 2. a consultation statement (which contains details of those consulted, how they were consulted, summarises the main issues and concerns raised and how these have been considered, and where relevant	The Plan is legally compliant in this respect. The qualifying body – Rowlands Castle Parish Council have submitted: 1. A map identifying the area to which the plan relates, 2. A consultation statement 3. The Submission Neighbourhood Plan 4. A Basic Conditions Statement 5. A statement setting out why an SEA is not required		

Reference	Test	EHDC comment and assessment of legal compliance	
	addressed in the proposed NDP as defined under Regulation 15(2)(a) of the Regs); 3. the proposed NDP; 4. a statement explaining how the NDP meets the 'basic conditions' i.e. requirements of para 8 schedule 4B to the 1990 Act. 5. either an environmental report or a statement of reasons why an environmental assessment is not required	The Plan is legally compliant in this respect.	
TCPA 1990 Schedule 4B para 4, para 6(2)(d) and NP (General) Regulations 2012 Regulation 15(2)(a)	Has the qualifying body complied with the requirements of regulations made under Paragraph 4 of the TCPA Schedule 4B regarding the scope of presubmission consultation?	The consultation statement and appendices details various communications and responses from various individuals and organisations at Regulation 14. The Plan is legally compliant in this respect.	
TCPA 1990 Schedule 4B para 6(3), Section 61J (and also PCPA 2004 Section 38B(1)(b))	Does the plan seek to grant or support planning permission for any development categorised as 'excluded development' under Section 61K of the TCPA 1990?	The Rowlands Castle Neighbourhood Plan does not contain policies relating to 'excluded development'. The Plan is legally compliant in this respect.	
PCPA 2004 Section 38A (2)	Does the plan meet the definition of 'neighbourhood development plan' in that does it set out policies in relation to the development and use of land in the neighbourhood area?	The Neighbourhood Plan for Rowlands Castle meets the definition of 'Neighbourhood Plan'. The Plan is legally compliant in this respect.	
PCPA 2004 Section 38B(1)(a)	Does the 'neighbourhood development plan' (as defined under Section 38A) specify the time period for which it is to have effect?	The plan period for the Rowlands Castle Neighbourhood Plan is 2022 – 2033 The Plan is legally compliant in this respect.	
PCPA 2004 Section 38B(1)(c)	Does the 'neighbourhood development plan' (as defined under Section 38A) relate to more than one neighbourhood area?	The Rowlands Castle Neighbourhood Plan does not relate to more than one neighbourhood area. The Plan is legally compliant in this respect.	